

**The regulations of early stages of
endoplasmic reticulum inheritance in ER stress.**

Jesse T. Chao^{1,2}, Francisco Pina¹, and Maho Niwa^{1*}

1. Division of Biological Sciences, Section of Molecular Biology
UCSD, NSB#1, Rm5328
9500 Gilman Drive, La Jolla, CA 92093-0377
2. Department of Cellular and Physiological Sciences
University of British Columbia
2350 Health Sciences Mall
Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, V6T 1Z3

* Corresponding author

ABSTRACT

The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is one of the largest cytoplasmic organelles in eukaryotic cells and plays a role in many cellular processes, such as the production and quality control of secretory protein, lipid synthesis and calcium homeostasis. The ER cannot be generated *de novo* and thus, its proper inheritance during cell division is paramount to the health and survival of the daughter cell. Although previous work has uncovered the cytoskeletal components involved, we still lack comprehensive understanding of the intricate steps of and the cytoplasmic and membrane-bound components involved in ER inheritance. To directly address these issues, we utilized microfluidics and genetic analyses to show that prior to nuclear migration, early ER inheritance can be further segregated into three distinctive steps. Moreover, we demonstrated that perturbing each of these steps affect the cell's ability to mitigate ER stress. Thus, proper ER inheritance is essential to ensuring a healthy, functional cell.

INTRODUCTION

One of the hallmarks of eukaryotic cells is that the various biochemical activities are compartmentalized into membrane-bound organelles, which are fine-tuned for specific cell functions. During cell division, the inheritance of the genome, which is regulated by DNA cell cycle checkpoints, has to occur accurately and timely in order to faithfully transmit genetic information. The inheritance of most organelles relies on the directional transport of motor proteins on cytoskeletal tracks provided by either actin or microtubules. For instance, vacuoles and peroxisomes are transferred into the daughter cell by Myosin 2 on actin cables (Provance and Mercer, 1999; Fagarasanu *et al.*, 2006; Peng and Weisman, 2008; Tang *et al.*, 2019), while nuclear inheritance is dependent on the microtubules (Du *et al.*, 2004). The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is morphologically distinct from other organelles; it consists of a continuous network of membrane tubules and sheets that undergo reorganizations. The ER membrane is continuous with the outer nuclear membrane, which is classified as perinuclear ER (pnER) and is embedded with the nuclear pore complex. The cortical ER (cER) is juxtaposed with the plasma membrane (PM) and occasionally makes physical contacts with it. In higher eukaryotes, the interconnected ER tubules between the pnER and the cER consists of three-way junctions (Schwarz and Blower, 2016). In budding yeast, fewer ER tubules traverse the cytoplasm and connect the pnER and cER. Although a continuous luminal domain connects the pnER and cER (Luedeke *et al.*, 2005), they are morphologically and functionally different. The pnER regulates materials entering and exiting the nucleus, while cER plays a role in lipid synthesis (Pichler *et al.*, 2001; Tavassoli *et al.*, 2013). Also, the inheritance of the pnER and the cER occurs via separate mechanisms at different stages of the cell cycle. In *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, cells undergo closed mitosis in which the nuclear membrane (pnER) never breaks down and pnER inheritance takes place in G2/M phases of the cell cycle as a part of nuclear migration, which is mediated by astral microtubules and the

dynein-dynactin motor proteins that “walk” on microtubules by ATP-hydrolysis, thereby providing the force necessary to pull the nucleus into the daughter cell (Varshney and Sanyal, 2019).

The inheritance of cER in yeast is distinct from nuclear migration and may not be entirely dependent on the cytoskeleton. Disrupting the microtubules by nocodazole, a microtubule depolymerizing agent, does not affect the delivery or maintenance of cER in the bud (Fehrenbacher *et al.*, 2002). Although the actin cytoskeleton plays a role in maintaining the morphology and dynamics of cER, perturbing actin cables by either Latrunculin A treatment or temperature sensitive mutants of the actin-encoding *ACT1* gene does not completely abolish cER inheritance (Prinz *et al.*, 2000; Fehrenbacher *et al.*, 2002). Instead, cER inheritance occurs as early as bud emergence, when a pool of cER is observed to concentrate at the incipient bud site (Fehrenbacher *et al.*, 2002). Since cER is associated with the PM, it may be passively inherited to the bud by following PM expansion during bud growth, but the precise mechanism is not understood. Nonetheless, many mutants have been identified to affect the structure of cER. One class of mutants is involved in ER to Golgi vesicular trafficking (Prinz *et al.*, 2000), and another set of mutants was found to encode for proteins that form a tethering complex between cER and PM (Manford *et al.*, 2012). Additionally, integral ER proteins Aux1 and Ice2 have been implicated in the distribution of cER in the bud (Du *et al.*, 2001; Estrada de Martin *et al.*, 2005). The variety of mutants identified thus far likely suggests that the inheritance of cER is a multi-step process that requires multiple redundant components.

More recently, the different stages of ER inheritance in yeast are further revealed by an ultrastructural study that used electron microscopy tomography (EM) to reconstruct the ER in three-dimension (West *et al.*, 2011). According to this study, in cells with small buds, an ER tubule that appears to have originated from the mother pNER is pointed towards the bud. Next, this ER tubule seems to anchor at the bud tip and spread into a

'nexus' of ER in the bud cortex in close proximity to the PM. This peripheral ER in the bud contains both tubular and cisternal structures and is consistent with what we know as cER (West *et al.*, 2011). Even though this study unveiled clear details of the ER structural modifications at different stages of bud growth, temporal information is lacking, and the presence of alternative routes to inherit the ER into the daughter cell remain elusive.

In addition to the ER inheritance stages under the normal growth condition, little was known about how the ER inheritance is impacted by functional status of the ER. Previously, we discovered a cell-cycle checkpoint mechanism called the ER stress surveillance (ERSU) pathway which ensures that daughter cells inherit functional ER, and not ER that has been damaged by ER stress (Babour *et al.*, 2010). Ultimately, the block of the ER inheritance during the ER stress leads to the cytokinesis block, leading to the halt of the cell cycle (Chao *et al.*, 2019). Interestingly, we found that the ERSU pathway is independent of the well-known Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) pathway (Walter and Ron, 2011) that re-establishes ER homeostasis. While the UPR is activated by IRE1, an ER transmembrane kinase/endoribonuclease, the ERSU does not utilize IRE1. Rather, ERSU is regulated by the mitogen activated protein (MAP) kinase Sit2. Thus, as ER function is replenished by the UPR, cells re-enter the cell cycle and resume ER inheritance (Piña and Niwa, 2015).

In order to further the understanding of the ER inheritance, we set out to investigate how the spatial-temporal and morphological changes of the ER are orchestrated during ER inheritance in live yeast cells upon employing microfluidic chambers. Furthermore, we investigated how ER stress affects the ER inheritance and also tested impacts of genetic mutants that have been reported to block the ER inheritance. We found that ER inheritance into the daughter cell can be largely divided into three stages in live-cell analyses. In stage one, an ER tubule emanated from the mother pnER, is oriented towards and extends into the incipient bud. In stage two, this ER tubule will

be extended and ultimately anchored at the bud tip and form an enriched 'ER cap'. Finally, in stage three, new ER tubules emerge from the 'ER cap' and spreads into the bud periphery, forming cER. Finally, ER inheritance was blocked at one of these stages depending upon different mutants, suggesting that these key stages are rate limiting stages for the ER inheritance.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reticulons and Yop1 regulate the first stage of ER inheritance

We reported that ER stress inhibits cER inheritance into the daughter cell as a part of the ERSU events (Babour *et al.*, 2010; Piña and Niwa, 2015; Piña *et al.*, 2016; 2018; Chao *et al.*, 2019). Although ER inheritance during normal growth conditions has been described, ER inheritance during ER stress has not been investigated in detail during the cell cycle. To further investigate, we performed live-cell time-lapse imaging to observe the sequence of events that lead to ER inheritance and its block with improved temporal resolution. We utilized a microfluidics chamber to simulate a native growth environment for a living cell culture (Fig. 1A). The micro-chamber was coated with Con A to immobilize cells (Hansen *et al.*, 2015) and was continuously perfused with either fresh media or media containing tunicamycin (Tm), a well-characterized ER stress inducer that inhibits N-glycosylation, thus cells accumulate non-glycosylated unfolded proteins in the ER lumen which activate both the unfolded protein response (UPR) and the ERSU cell cycle checkpoint.

We used Pho88-GFP, an integral membrane protein, as an ER marker to monitor the earliest stages of ER inheritance (Fig. 1B, Movie S1). The first clear event observed was a tubular structure emanating from the pnER and directed toward the incipient bud (Fig. 1B, 4 min). This early tubular ER was also observed with a different ER membrane reporter, Sec61-GFP (Fig. S1A, Movie

S2). While a few ER tubules linking the cER and the pnER could be detected in the mother, only one tubule entered the bud (Fig. 1B, 20 min). From here on, we will refer to this tubular ER structure as the “initial ER tubule (IET)”.

We next investigated how ER stress might alter IET entry into daughter cells. This time, the microfluidics chamber was perfused with media containing tunicamycin (Tm) to induce ER stress on wildtype (WT) cells expressing Pho88-GFP (Fig. 1C, Movie S3). We found that although the IET emanated from the mother pnER was still oriented correctly to the incipient bud neck, it did not enter the bud (Fig. 1C, 0-20 min). Subsequently, as the daughter cell grew, little GFP accumulated in the bud (Fig. 1C, ~28-32 min). This persisted even when the bud became slightly larger (Fig. 1C, 44 min). Quantitation indicated that IET entry into buds was significantly reduced when cells were under ER stress (Fig. 1D). Taken together, these results showed that ER stress blocked IET entry into the daughter cell (Fig. 1E), suggesting that components responsible for the early stages of the ER inheritance were sensitive to ER stress.

Previously, we identified Rtn1, Rtn2, and Yop1 as additional components of the ERSU pathway and that *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells were unable to activate the ERSU response (Piña *et al.*, 2016). Thus, we next investigated the details of ER inheritance in *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells using a different ER reporter, Hmg1-GFP. In time-lapse studies, we noticed that IETs were often missing in the mother in *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells (Fig. 1F-G; Fig. S1B). We also frequently failed to detect tubular ER in the buds of *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells (Fig. 1G-H). In those cells that did contain an IET that originated from the mother pnER, the IET failed to orientate correctly towards the bud (Fig. 2A for WT vs Fig. 2B-C for *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells). Importantly, *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells did not show contiguous cER with normal appearance (Fig. 2A for WT vs Fig. 2B-C for *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells). This was expected, as Rtn1, Rtn2, and Yop1 play

roles in establishing ER curvature (Voeltz *et al.*, 2002). Nonetheless, we were surprised to find that a few *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* daughter cells still inherited some ER (Fig. 2D-E), although the majority of these cells (~80%) had abnormal tubules (Fig. 2F), suggesting that ER inheritance was unconventional in the absence of Rtn1, Rtn2 and Yop1. Instead of inheriting ER via an IET which normally emanated from the mother pnER, the cER of *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* mother cell appeared to invade the daughter cell cortex and generated cER-like structures with severely altered morphologies (Fig. 2D-2E, arrowheads). ER stress did not induce additional ER inheritance defects in *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells (Fig. 2G-H and Fig. S1B-C; quantitation in Fig. 2I).

Finally, we assessed the functional significance of the abnormal ER inheritance in *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells. In growth assays, we found that *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells grew similar to WT cells under normal conditions, but grew significantly worse on Tm containing media, although not to the same extent as the UPR deficient *ire1Δ* cells (Fig. 2J). These results suggested that proper formation and entry of the IET was critical for cell survival under ER stress.

The results so far indicated that the shape of ER may be an important determinant in IET formation. As shown previously by EM tomography, pnER consists of smooth ER sheets (West *et al.*, 2011). So, how might a tubule arise from a flat membrane sheet structure? The reticulons and Yop1 contain a conserved protein domain that insert into the ER membrane as hairpins (Voeltz *et al.*, 2006), which may facilitate the generation of membrane curvature by forming a wedge on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane (Shibata *et al.*, 2010). Inducing membrane curvatures by recruiting membrane shaping proteins is a common cellular strategy for generating new structures from the smooth surface of the ER, such as COPII vesicle formation at ER exit sites (Jensen and Schekman, 2011). Thus, the curvature forming

property of reticulons and Yop1 may be the mechanism responsible for IET formation from pnER. In support of this hypothesis, the ER in cells lacking reticulons is converted into large sheets (Voeltz *et al.*, 2006), and we indeed observed that the ER tubules emanating from pnER were often poorly formed, if at all, in cells missing reticulons and Yop1. As a result, the buds in these cells had very little cER, suggesting that the shape of the ER is highly regulated and is important for ER inheritance. The growth defect of *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells in the presence of ER stress highlighted their significance in formation of IET and ER inheritance.

Spa2 and Slt2 are required for the formation of an ER cap in the second stage of ER inheritance

After IET entered the daughter cell, we observed strong Pho88-GFP signals accumulating at the bud tip (Fig. 1B, 28-44 min). We termed this rich ER deposit at the bud tip the “ER cap.” We also witnessed ER cap formation with other ER reporters, including Hmg1-GFP (Fig. 1F) or Sec61-GFP (Fig. S1A). Moreover, the ER cap was only apparent in cells with small to medium buds (bud index of ~0.15) and not in cells with very small (bud index ~0.05) or medium to large buds (bud index ~0.3) (Fig. S2A). Thus, we hypothesized that the ER cap might have differentiated from the IET upon its binding to proteins at the bud tip. In support, previous studies have described that the ER is anchored at the bud tip prior to spreading to the cell cortex (Fehrenbacher *et al.*, 2002; Wiederkehr *et al.*, 2003).

Previously, we reported that the MAP kinase Slt2 is needed for activating the ERSU pathway (Babour *et al.*, 2010). While Slt2 performs multiple cellular functions, we found that the population of Slt2 involved in ERSU is localized to the bud tip (Chao *et al.*, 2019). Thus, we reasoned that identifying Slt2 interacting partners at the bud tip might be an avenue to uncover the component(s) that anchors the IET at the bud tip. Through searching genetic interactions annotated for *SLT2* on the *Saccharomyces*

Genome Database (SGD), we noticed that *SPA2* has a negative genetic interaction with *SLT2* (Costanzo *et al.*, 2010). Spa2 is part of the polarisome complex and is localized to the bud tip early in the yeast cell cycle (Pruyne and Bretscher, 2000). Two yeast-two-hybrid screens have demonstrated that Spa2 directly interacts with Slt2 (Sheu *et al.*, 1998; van Drogen and Peter, 2002) (Fig. S2B). Importantly, while re-examining our previously published DHFR screen for Slt2 (Chao *et al.*, 2019), we noticed that Spa2 showed increased interactions with Slt2 during ER stress (Fig. S2C). In addition to these physical interaction data, other lines of evidence also suggest that Spa2 may function with Slt2 in ER inheritance under normal growth conditions (Loewen *et al.*, 2007; Li *et al.*, 2013). Thus, we investigated how Spa2 might function with Slt2 in the later stages of ER inheritance after IET entry into the daughter cell.

To confirm the protein-protein interactions between Slt2 and Spa2, we used the protein-complementation assay with split-YFP as the reporter to visualize the direct binding of Slt2 and Spa2 in living cells (Michnick *et al.*, 2007). In this assay, Slt2 was fused to one half of YFP, while Spa2 was to the complementary half of YFP. Physical interaction between Slt2 and Spa2 proteins brought both fragments of YFP into close proximity, allowing them to re-constitute and emit fluorescence. In addition to demonstrating the physical interaction between two proteins, it also revealed the subcellular site of the interaction. We found that YFP was localized to the tips of small buds, a location similar to that of the ER caps (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, Spa2-GFP co-immunoprecipitated with a fraction of total cellular Slt2 (Fig. S2D). These results revealed that a pool of Slt2 localized to the tip of small buds interacted with Spa2 during polarized cell growth, consistent with published observations (Li *et al.*, 2010).

To dissect the functional significance of Spa2 under normal growth and under ER stress, we monitored ER inheritance in *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells. During ER stress, ER inheritance is normally blocked in WT cells early in the cell cycle

(Babour *et al.*, 2010; Piña and Niwa, 2015; Piña *et al.*, 2018). Thus, evaluating the effects of ER stress in the later stages of ER inheritance, such as the anchoring of IET to the bud tip or the lateral expansion of cER from the bud tip, is rather difficult in WT cells. However, in *slt2Δ* cells, ER is inherited even under ER stress (but this ultimately leads to cell death), thus providing us with an opportunity to investigate the role of Spa2 after the ER anchoring step. To this end, we generated *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells carrying Pho88-GFP and performed microfluidics experiments. During normal growth, *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells showed nearly normal ER inheritance, but ER cap formation was noticeably missing when compared to WT (Fig. 3B vs 1B and S3A vs S3B). Quantifications confirmed that ER cap formation was significantly reduced in *slt2Δspa2Δ* (Fig. 3C-D; Fig. S3A vs S3B; Movies S4 vs S5). During ER stress, due to the lack of *SLT2*, cells were not able to initiate the ERSU response and ER inheritance was not blocked in *slt2Δspa2Δ*, resulting in some ER signals being detected in the daughter cells (Fig. 3E-F).

To further characterize the nature of the ER observed in *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells, we utilized Tcb3-GFP, an integral ER protein that is also a part of the ER-PM contact site complex (Toulmay and Prinz, 2012). Thus, Tcb3-GFP allows the visualization of only the cER rather than total ER. Indeed, we found that in wildtype cells, Tcb3-GFP signal was abundant in the daughter cell cortex (Fig. 3G, yellow arrows). However, in *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells, Tcb3-GFP signal was very patchy or fragmented (Fig. 3G, yellow arrowheads). These results were consistent with our earlier findings that *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells did not inherit complete cER (Fig. 3F). Interestingly, we noticed that the cER defects in *slt2Δspa2Δ* was more apparent in medium-budded cells, after the stage of ER cap formation (Fig. 1B, 40 min onward; Fig. S1A, 40 min onward; Fig.

S3A, 8 min onward), suggesting that the lack of ER cap in the daughter cells of *slt2Δspa2Δ* may be associated with their cER defects.

In order to test if Spa2-dependent ER cap formation played a role in the ERSU pathway, and to investigate the significance of the Slt2-Spa2 interaction, we examined the growth of *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells. The growth of the double mutant was reduced when compared either *slt2Δ* or *spa2Δ* cells even under normal conditions, providing further support for a negative genetic interaction between *SLT2* and *SPA2* (Fig. 3G). It should be noted that we added an osmotic stabilizer (sorbitol) to the media for this growth assay, since Slt2 is also involved in the Cell Wall Integrity (CWI) response. Importantly, this growth difference was further exacerbated in the presence of ER stress (Fig. 3G). Previously, we reported that both Slt2's kinase activity and its auto-phosphorylation are critical for the ERSU pathway (Babour *et al.*, 2010). Here, we found that either the auto-phosphorylation mutant (T190AY192F) or the kinase dead mutant (K54R) of Slt2 was capable of rescuing the growth sensitivity both under normal and ER stress growth conditions (Fig. 3I). The extent of rescue by either allelic variants of Slt2 was similar to that of wildtype Slt2 regardless of ER stress induction, suggesting that Spa2 likely interacted with Slt2 outside of these residues. Taken together, the genetic and physical interactions between Slt2 and Spa2 indicated that they functioned together at the bud tip to facilitate the formation of the ER cap (Fig. 3K).

Ice2 functions with Slt2 in the third stage of ER inheritance

In our microfluidics experiment with WT cells, we observed that at later time points, the ER cap was less prominent, and cER became more evenly distributed in the bud periphery (Fig. 4A, beyond 48 min; Fig. S3A, after 20 min; Movies S1, S4, and S7). This suggested that as the bud grew, ER proliferated from the ER cap to decorate the bud cortex, ultimately forming

the PM-associated reticular cER network that is frequently described in the literature. For instance, a recent study used EM tomography to show that tubular ER in a small bud branches out from a central nexus towards the bud periphery and makes contacts with the PM, eventually establishing cER (West *et al.*, 2011). In addition, another study used time-lapse microscopy to show that tubular ER in the bud undergoes dynamic branching during growth (Costanzo *et al.*, 2010). Based on previously published and our current observations, we hypothesized that the dynamic remodeling of the ER cap, and the proliferation and spreading of ER into the bud cortex to establish cER is an essential step in ER inheritance that could be affected by ER stress.

We searched for candidates that may function with Slr2 in the later stages of ER inheritance, in particular ER spreading. Since *SPA2* showed a negative genetic interaction with *SLT2* and that it played a role in capturing tubular ER at the bud tip to form an ER cap, we reasoned that component(s) that interacts negatively with *SLT2* may include gene(s) involved in spreading ER from the ER cap. We noticed that *ICE2* negatively interacts with *SLT2* in an unbiased whole genome survey (Estrada de Martin *et al.*, 2005). Ice2 is an ER transmembrane protein, and *ice2Δ* cells have mild defects in the distribution of cER (Babour *et al.*, 2010). Thus, we wondered if the genetic interaction between *ICE2* and *SLT2* might suggest that *ICE2* function in spreading ER from the ER cap. To test this idea, we used live-cell imaging to follow ER inheritance in *slt2Δice2Δ* cells, with a focus on the later stages (Fig. 4B, Fig. S3A and S3C; Movie S6). Even though we observed normal ER tubule anchoring to the bud tip as well as the formation of an ER cap, we noticed that ER spreading in the bud was incomplete (Fig. 4B, starting at the 20-min mark; Fig. S3C, after 32 min). We discovered that while WT cells have ~80% of their cell periphery covered with cER, *slt2Δice2Δ* cells only had ~16% cER in the bud and ~35% in the mother (representative images, Fig. 4C; quantification, Fig. 4D). In comparison, *Δice2* single mutant had 39% cER in

the bud and 50% in the mother (Fig. 4D). Using Tcb3-GFP, we confirmed that *slt2Δice2Δ* indeed had incomplete cER in the bud, representing severe defects in ER spreading (Fig. 4E).

Preventing cER spreading at the bud cortex enhances ERSU activation

To further test the significance of the cER spreading step in the ERSU pathway, we examined the impact of ER stress on cER formation in *slt2Δice2Δ* cells. As expected, WT cells blocked cER inheritance by the daughter cell (Fig. 4F, left). In *slt2Δice2Δ* cells, we observed only small amounts of fragmented cER in the daughter cell (Fig. 4F, right; quantitation in Fig. S3D). Furthermore, *slt2Δice2Δ* cells grew better than *slt2Δ* cells under ER stress (Fig. 4H). Thus, the ER spreading defect induced by the additional deletion of *ICE2* in *slt2Δ* cells (eg. *slt2Δice2Δ*) may have rescued the cells' sensitivity to ER stress. These results were in agreement with our previous results that preventing cER inheritance in *slt2Δ* cells by using a low dose of Latrunculin B, an actin temperature-sensitive mutation (*act1-1*), or *MYO4* deletion rescued their growth sensitivity to ER stress (Babour *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, we found that Slt2's kinase function was required for rescuing the growth defects of *slt2Δice2Δ* cells under normal or ER stress conditions. The hypersensitivity to ER stress of *slt2Δice2Δ* cells could only be rescued with the wildtype Slt2, as neither K54R nor T190A Y192F mutant alleles of *SLT2* rescued the growth of *slt2Δice2Δ* cells (Fig. 4H). This finding was in contrast to our *slt2Δspa2Δ* data (Fig. 3I), suggesting that the kinase function and the phosphorylation of Slt2 was required for its role in cER spreading, even though they are dispensable for ER cap formation. Taken together, these data suggest that *SLT2* and *ICE2* function together in the late stage of ER inheritance, namely ER spreading (Fig. 4I).

In conclusion, we can now further divide early ER inheritance into three stages: (1) forming an ER tubule (IET) from the mother pnER and delivering it into the new bud; (2) anchoring the IET to the bud tip and forming an ER cap; and (3) spreading ER from the ER cap to the bud cortex to form cER (Fig. 5). In the first stage, reticulons and Yop1 were important for the formation and orientation of the IET. Following IET entry into the daughter cell, Slt2 functioned with the polarisome Spa2 at the bud tip to regulate the formation of the ER cap. On the other hand, Slt2 functioned with the cER inheritance factor Ice2 to release ER from the ER cap, so that ER could spread to the bud periphery to form cER. Our findings here revealed more specific roles for Slt2 in each of the three ER inheritance stages, further suggesting that ER inheritance is likely regulated throughout the cell cycle via requisite checkpoints, which may be similar in principle to the morphogenesis check point mediated by the Swe1 kinase (Zarzov *et al.*, 1996). In fact, Slt2 is found to undergo periods of activation via phosphorylation throughout the cell cycle, peaking at the polarized growth phase (Xu *et al.*, 2009; Roberts *et al.*, 2010). This indicates that Slt2 is likely most active during its required functions in stages 2 and 3 of early ER inheritance; by interacting with different partners such as Spa2 and Ice2, Slt2 may regulate these two distinct but tightly connected steps. Taken together, Slt2 may have emerged to become a crucial regulator that couples ER function to general cell-cycle progression, a critical property that warrants further study.

Our study of ER inheritance in yeast may contribute to the understanding of how ER is distributed even in non-dividing cells such as neurons. In order to integrate diverse electrical signals, neurons have highly branched dendrites, which have numerous membrane protrusions called dendritic spines that serve as postsynaptic terminals. How ER reaches distant dendritic spines hundreds of microns away from the cell body is not well understood, but is an important question to answer since dendritic spine dynamics are

associated with learning and memory (Cui-Wang *et al.*, 2012). Nevertheless, not all dendritic spines contain ER (Mostafavi *et al.*, 2008). How then, do neurons regulate the delivery of ER to dendritic spines? Even though neurons do not undergo cell division once differentiated, the formation of dendritic spines share similarities with the asymmetric cell division in yeast. Therefore, our findings may serve as basic starting points to interrogating the complex arrangements of ER in neurons.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Rtn1, Rtn2 and Yop1 are required for the generation of an initial ER tubule (IET) from the mother pER.

- (A) Schematics of the design of the microfluidics device used throughout this study.
- (B) WT cells expressing Pho88-GFP were immobilized in a ConA-coated microfluidics device, which was continuously perfused with fresh synthetic complete (SC) medium. All images were taken every four minutes from a single, central focal plane using fluorescent microscopy. Yellow arrows point to the appearance of an IET in the mother (at 4 min) and in the bud (20 min), or an ER cap in the bud (at 24 min); red arrows indicate the approximate locations of those structures in the DIC image. Also shown are the tracings of ER fluorescence. All scale bars, 2 μm . See also Movie S1 (Pho88-GFP) & S2 (Sec61-GFP) and Fig. S1A and S3A.
- (C) Similar to (B), but the media contained 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ tunicamycin (Tm). Yellow arrowheads indicate the absence of an expected IET or ER cap in the bud. See also Movie S3.
- (D) Quantifications of buds containing an IET as visualized using Pho88-GFP in cells grown in SC or SC+Tm. Error bars indicate standard error (S.E.)

and asterisk indicates $p < 0.005$.

- (E) Cartoon representations of early events of ER inheritance in cells under normal or ER stress conditions.
- (F) WT cells expressing Hmg1-GFP were immobilized on an agar pad containing YPD, and imaged every four minutes. Images are deconvolved projections from a Z-stack. Yellow arrows indicate an IET extending from mother pnER towards the bud; red arrows indicate the approximate locations of those structures in DIC images.
- (G) Similar to (D) but in *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells. Yellow arrowheads indicate the absence of an expected IET.
- (H) Quantifications of daughter cells containing an IET as visualized using Hmg1-GFP in the indicated strains. $n > 50$ for each strain. Error bars, S.E.; asterisk, $p < 0.05$.

Figure 2. abnormal cER inheritance in *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells

- (A) Visualization of an IET (ER was labeled with Hmg1-GFP) pointing towards the bud (yellow arrow) in a wildtype cell. All scale bars, 2 μm .
- (B-E) Time-lapse images of four different *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells expressing Hmg1-GFP. Also shown are the tracings of ER in these cells to illustrate the four different types of ER inheritance defects observed. Yellow arrowheads indicate non-wildtype tubular structures which either did not enter the bud (B, C) or did not originate from the mother pnER (D, E).

- (F) Quantifications of the abnormal ER tubules observed in unstressed WT and *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells ($n > 50$). Error bars, S.E.; asterisk, $p < 0.05$.
- (G and H) Sample images of WT or *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells expressing Hmg1-GFP. Yellow arrowheads showed the absence of an IET in buds due to ER stress induction (Tm).
- (I) Quantifications of IET in mothers with an emerging bud in WT and *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* cells ($n > 50$). Error bars, S.E.; asterisk, $p < 0.05$; n.s., not significant.
- (J) Serial dilutions of WT, *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* and *ire1Δ* cells at mid-log phase were spotted onto SC media containing DMSO only, or 0.5 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ Tm.

Figure 3. The impact of ER stress on the second stage of ER inheritance involving the formation of an ER cap.

- (A) Split-YFP PCA between Slit2 and Spa2. Yellow arrows indicate localization of the interaction at bud tips; red arrows indicate the approximate locations of those interactions in DIC images. All scale bars, 2 μm .
- (B) *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells expressing Pho88-GFP were imaged using microfluidics in SC media. Yellow arrowhead indicates the absence of an ER cap, and red arrow indicates bud tip. See also Fig. S3B and Movie S5 (*slt2Δspa2Δ*) vs Movie S3 (WT)

- (C) WT and *slt2Δspa2* cells expressing Pho88-GFP. Yellow arrows indicate ER cap and cER in the daughter cells; yellow arrowheads indicate the absence of an ER cap; red arrows indicate the approximate locations of the interactions in DIC images.
- (D) Quantifications of ER cap formation for cells in (C) ($n > 50$) by measuring ER fluorescence ratios between bud tip and bud periphery and expressed in percentage. Error bars, S.E.; asterisk, $p < 0.05$.
- (E) Similar to (C), but media contained 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ Tm to induce ER stress. Yellow arrowheads indicate the absence of cER and red arrows show those locations in DIC images.
- (F) Quantitation of ER inheritance in small-budded WT and *slt2Δspa2Δ* daughter cells grown with or without Tm. Only cells whose bud to mother size ratios less than 0.3 were quantified. Error bars, S.E.; asterisks, $p < 0.05$; n.s., not significant.
- (G) WT and *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells expressing Tcb3-GFP. Yellow arrows indicate normal cER distribution and yellow arrowheads indicate defects in cER; red arrows indicate those locations in DIC images. Magnified views of the cER (Tcb3-GFP) of either WT or *slt2Δspa2Δ* daughter cells were shown.
- (H) Serial dilutions of WT and indicated mutants at mid-log phase were spotted onto SC media supplemented with 1 M sorbitol, and with or without 0.1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ Tm.
- (I) Similar to (H), except that *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells were transformed with a pRS315 plasmid containing no insert or the indicated alleles of *SLT2*.

(J) Cartoon representations showing the normal process of IET anchoring followed by the formation of ER cap. Deleting *SLT2* and *SPA2* interfere with both processes.

Figure 4. The effects of ER stress on the 3rd stage of ER inheritance involving the spreading of cER into the bud cortex

(A) Later time points (48 to 68 minutes) of the same microfluidic experiment shown in Fig 1B. Yellow arrows indicate cER and red arrows indicate the approximate locations of cER in DIC images. See also Movie S1, Fig. S3A and Movie S4. All scale bars, 2 μm .

(B) *slt2 Δ ice2 Δ* cells expressing Pho88-GFP were imaged using microfluidics in SC media. Yellow arrowheads indicate incomplete ER spreading in the daughter cell; red arrows indicate those locations in DIC. Magnified views of the cER (Pho88-GFP) of *slt2 Δ ice2 Δ* daughter cells were shown. See also Fig. S3C and Movie S6.

(C) WT and *slt2 Δ ice2 Δ* cells expressing Pho88-GFP. Yellow arrows indicate cER and yellow arrowheads indicate missing cER; red arrows indicate those locations in DIC. Magnified views of the cER (Pho88-GFP) of either WT or *slt2 Δ ice2 Δ* daughter cells were shown.

(D) Quantifications of cER of cells shown in (C) ($n > 50$). The proportion of cell perimeter with associated cER was assessed in mothers and buds separately using Pho88-GFP as the ER marker. Error bars, S.E.; asterisks, $p < 0.05$.

- (E) WT and *slt2Δice2Δ* cells expressing Tcb3-GFP. Yellow arrows indicate cER and yellow arrowheads indicate incomplete cER; red arrows indicate those locations in DIC images. Magnified views of the cER (Tcb3-GFP) of either WT or *slt2Δice2Δ* daughter cells were shown.
- (F) Similar to (C), but cells were treated with Tm. Yellow arrowheads indicate the expected ERSU-induced cER inheritance block phenotype; red arrows indicate those locations in DIC. Magnified views of the cER (Pho88-GFP) of either WT or *slt2Δice2Δ* daughter cells were shown. See also Fig. S3D for quantitation. For one of the WT cell images, the position of the cell surface membrane is traced with a white dotted line.
- (G) Serial dilutions of WT and indicated mutants at mid-log phase were spotted onto SC media supplemented with 1 M sorbitol, and with or without 0.1 μg/ml Tm.
- (H) Similar to (G), but *slt2Δice2Δ* cells containing the indicated plasmids were used.
- (I) Cartoon representations illustrating cER spreading from ER cap. Deleting *SLT2* and *ICE2* interferes with cER spreading.

Figure 5. Key ER inheritance stages

Cartoon drawings that illustrate the different stages of ER inheritance. Stage 1, which is mediated by the reticulons and Yop1, involves the extension of an IET from mother pnER to the daughter cell. Stage 2, which is regulated by Slt2 and Spa2, involves the formation of an ER cap at the bud tip. Stage 3, which is mediated by Slt2 and Ice2, involves spreading ER from the ER cap to the bud cortex.

Supplemental Figures

Figure S1. An ER tubule emanating from the mother pnER extends towards the bud neck and enters into the daughter cell. Related to Figure 1.

(A) WT cells expressing Sec61-GFP under the endogenous promoter were immobilized in a ConA coated microfluidics device, which was continuously perfused with fresh synthetic complete (SC) medium. Images were taken every four minutes from a single, central focal plane using fluorescent microscopy. All scale bars, 2 μm .

(B-C) Time-lapse of *rtn1 Δ rtn2 Δ yop1 Δ* cells expressing Hmg1-GFP at the endogenous locus grown in medium with DMSO (B) or Tunicamycin (Tm).

Figure S2. Slt2 physically interacts with Spa2 in unstressed cells. Related to Figure 3.

(A) Quantitation of cells with vs without ER caps by bud index number (bud mother ratios). Error bars, S.E. Asterisks, $p < 0.05$.

(B) Physical interaction network of Slt2 and Spa2 produced using GeneMANIA (Sheu *et al.*, 1998; van Drogen and Peter, 2002). The two edges connecting Spa2 and Slt2 came from two independent studies (Chao *et al.*, 2019).

(C) Physical interactions between Slt2 and selected polarity proteins from the previously published DHFR screens (Janke *et al.*, 2004). Spa2 showed

increased interactions with Slt2 in the presence of ER stress.

(D) Slt2 co-immunoprecipitated with Spa2 (IP: GFP lane 2). Arrowheads show the background bands.

Figure S3. Additional time-lapse images showing ER inheritance steps. Related to Figure 1, 3, 4, and 5.

(A) Live-cell imaging of WT cells expressing Pho88-GFP. Cells were immobilized on agarose pads containing SC media (related to Figure 1B and Movie S4).

(B) Similar to (A) but in *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells. Note the lack of ER cap formation as indicated by the yellow arrowhead (related to Figure 3B, Movie S5)

(C) Similar to (A) but in *slt2Δice2Δ* cells. Note that cells did not spread cER (yellow arrowheads) despite forming an ER cap (related to Figure 4B, Movie S6)

(D) Quantifications of ER inheritance in the indicated strains expressing Pho88-GFP. Error bars, S.E.; asterisks, $p < 0.05$; n.s., not significant. Related to Figure 4.

Movie S1. Time-lapse microfluidics experiment of WT cells expressing Pho88-GFP grown in SC media.

Movie S2. Similar to Movie S1, but WT cells were expressing Sec61-GFP.

Movie S3. Similar to Movie S1, but media contained 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ Tm.

Movie S4. Time-lapse imaging of WT cells that were expressing Pho88-GFP and immobilized on an agarose pad containing SC media.

Movie S5. Similar to Movie S4 but with *slt2Δspa2Δ* cells.

Movie S6. Similar to Movie S4 but with *slt2 Δ ice2 Δ* cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast strains, plasmids and growth conditions: All yeast strains, except for *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* (MNY2703) and the accompanying wild-type (MNY1037) were on the basis of S288C, and grown at 30 °C in synthetic-defined (SD) or complete (SC) medium. Single deletion strains with the KanMX marker were obtained from the yeast haploid deletion collection (BY4741, MAT a, Thermo Scientific). Other single deletion strains with the NatR marker were done by one-step homologous recombination of PCR-generated fragments in haploids using the p4339 plasmid in BY7092 strain (both from Boone lab, University of Toronto). GFP tagging of endogenous proteins was done by the PCR method using the pKT128 (SpHIS5) plasmid (Tavassoli *et al.*, 2013) in the BY7043 background (Chao *et al.*, 2014). Double deletion strains were generated by mating and tetrad dissection. PCA strains were generated as previously described (Kim *et al.*, 2008). Plasmids p2188, p2190 and p2193 were kind gifts of D. Levin (Chao *et al.*, 2014). pHVF1CT and pUVF2CT were gifts from C. Loewen (Chao *et al.*, 2014).

Spot assays: 10- fold serial dilutions of log phase cells were using a pin-frogger onto agar plates containing SD media, and supplemented with 1M sorbitol where indicated. All assays were performed at 30°C for 24-48 hours, and repeated independently at least two times.

Microscopy: Cells were visualized using a Zeiss Axiovert 200M fluorescent microscope with a 100 x 1.3 NA objective, unless otherwise stated. Deconvolution of images were done using Axiovision software (Zeiss).

Microfluidics microscopy: Microfluidic devices were fabricated using polydimethyl siloxane (PDMS) following published procedures (Hansen *et al.*, 2015), at the UCSD Biodynamics Laboratory. After cells were introduced into the device as published, the device was mounted on the microscope via a custom-made stage adaptor. Tubings (BTPE-90, Instech Laboratories, Inc.

Plymouth Meeting, PA, USA) were attached to the device via custom-made connectors, and SC medium were perfused through the channels in the device by gravity flow. Single plane images were taken every four minutes using Axiovision software on a Zeiss microscope, and analyzed using ImageJ software (NIH).

Time-lapse microscopy on agarose pads: Time-lapse images of wild-type (MNY1037) and *rtn1Δrtn2Δyop1Δ* (MNY2703) strains (Figure 1 and Figure 2) were collected on agarose (1.6%) pads containing 0.5X YPD (1% peptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 2% dextrose). Images were collected on a DeltaVision system (Applied Precision) consisting of an inverted epifluorescence microscope (IX71, Olympus) equipped with a temperature chamber maintained at 30°C for the duration of the time-lapse. Images are z-projection of deconvolved z-stacks. For Supplemental Figure 3 and Supplemental Figure 4, imaging was carried out on the Zeiss Axio Observer fluorescent microscope with a 100 x 1.3 NA objective on Agarose pads containing complete synthetic yeast medium. Deconvolution and z-projections were performed with Zen 2.3 Pro software (Zeiss).

Image quantification: All quantifications were done using ImageJ. To enable direct comparisons, wild-type and mutant cells were imaged on the same day with identical microscope settings. Bud to mother size ratios were determined by measuring the area occupied by bud and mother cells on the corresponding transmission images. Small budded cells were classified as bud area less than 1/3 of the mother, and medium budded cells had bud area greater than 1/3. To quantify ER fluorescence, a surface plot was generated from the fluorescent images, and the peak fluorescent intensities were estimated from the plot. Quantification of cER in wild-type and *slt2Δice2Δ* cells was done by measuring the distance occupied by the ER fragments in the cell cortex, and divided by the circumference of the bud or mother cell. All error bars represent SEM.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by NIH RO1 grant, GM087415 (M.N.), and Cancer Research Coordinating Committee grant (CRR-20-632388) (M.N.).

Furthermore, J.C. was supported by UCSD Molecular Biology Cancer postdoctoral fellowship and F.P. was supported by an NIH 5T32AI007469-20UCSD/LIAI allergy postdoctoral training grant.

REFERENCES

- Babour, A., Bicknell, A. A., Tourtellotte, J., and Niwa, M. (2010). A surveillance pathway monitors the fitness of the endoplasmic reticulum to control its inheritance. *Cell* *142*, 256–269.
- Chao, J. T., Piña, F., Onishi, M., Cohen, Y., Lai, Y.-S., Schuldiner, M., and Niwa, M. (2019). Transfer of the Septin Ring to Cytokinetic Remnants in ER Stress Directs Age-Sensitive Cell-Cycle Re-entry. *Developmental Cell*, 1–59.
- Chao, J. T., Wong, A. K. O., Tavassoli, S., Young, B. P., Chruscicki, A., Fang, N. N., Howe, L. J., Mayor, T., Foster, L. J., and Loewen, C. J. R. (2014). Polarization of the Endoplasmic Reticulum by ER-Septin Tethering. *Cell* *158*, 620–632.
- Costanzo, M. *et al.* (2010). The Genetic Landscape of a Cell. *Science* *327*, 425–431.
- Cui-Wang, T., Hanus, C., Cui, T., Helton, T., Bourne, J., Watson, D., Harris, K. M., and Ehlers, M. D. (2012). Local Zones of Endoplasmic Reticulum Complexity Confine Cargo in Neuronal Dendrites. *Cell* *148*, 309–321.
- Du, Y., Ferro-Novick, S., and Novick, P. (2004). Dynamics and inheritance of the endoplasmic reticulum. *J Cell Sci* *117*, 2871–2878.
- Du, Y., Pypaert, M., Novick, P., and Ferro-Novick, S. (2001). Aux1p/Swa2p is required for cortical endoplasmic reticulum inheritance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Biol. Cell* *12*, 2614–2628.
- Estrada de Martin, P., Du, Y., Novick, P., and Ferro-Novick, S. (2005). Ice2p is important for the distribution and structure of the cortical ER network in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Cell Sci* *118*, 65–77.
- Fagarasanu, A., Fagarasanu, M., Eitzen, G. A., Aitchison, J. D., and Rachubinski, R. A. (2006). The peroxisomal membrane protein Inp2p is the peroxisome-specific receptor for the myosin V motor Myo2p of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Developmental Cell* *10*, 587–600.
- Fehrenbacher, K., Davis, D., Wu, M., Boldogh, I., and Pon, L. (2002). Endoplasmic reticulum dynamics, inheritance, and cytoskeletal interactions in budding yeast. *13*, 854–865.
- Hansen, A. S., Hao, N., and O'Shea, E. K. (2015). High-throughput microfluidics to control and measure signaling dynamics in single yeast cells. *Nat Protoc* *10*, 1181–1197.
- Janke, C. *et al.* (2004). A versatile toolbox for PCR-based tagging of yeast genes: new fluorescent proteins, more markers and promoter substitution cassettes. *Yeast* *21*, 947–962.

Jensen, D., and Schekman, R. (2011). COPII-mediated vesicle formation at a glance. *Journal of Cell Science* 124, 1–4.

Kim, K. Y., Truman, A. W., and Levin, D. E. (2008). Yeast Mpk1 Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Activates Transcription through Swi4/Swi6 by a Noncatalytic Mechanism That Requires Upstream Signal. *Mol Cell Biol* 28, 2579–2589.

Li, X., Du, Y., Siegel, S., Ferro-Novick, S., and Novick, P. (2010). Activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase, Slt2p, at bud tips blocks a late stage of endoplasmic reticulum inheritance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. 21, 1772–1782.

Li, X., Ferro-Novick, S., and Novick, P. (2013). Different polarisome components play distinct roles in Slt2p-regulated cortical ER inheritance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. 24, 3145–3154.

Loewen, C. J. R., Young, B. P., Tavassoli, S., and Levine, T. P. (2007). Inheritance of cortical ER in yeast is required for normal septin organization. *The Journal of Cell Biology* 179, 467–483.

Luedeke, C., Frei, S., Sbalzarini, I., Schwarz, H., Spang, A., and Barral, Y. (2005). Septin-dependent compartmentalization of the endoplasmic reticulum during yeast polarized growth. 169, 897–908.

Manford, A. G., Stefan, C. J., Yuan, H. L., Macgurn, J. A., and Emr, S. D. (2012). ER-to-plasma membrane tethering proteins regulate cell signaling and ER morphology. *Dev Cell* 23, 1129–1140.

Michnick, S., Ear, P., Manderson, E., Remy, I., and Stefan, E. (2007). Universal strategies in research and drug discovery based on protein-fragment complementation assays. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 6, 569–582.

Mostafavi, S., Ray, D., Warde-Farley, D., Grouios, C., and Morris, Q. (2008). GeneMANIA: a real-time multiple association network integration algorithm for predicting gene function. *Genome Biol* 9 *Suppl* 1, S4.

Peng, Y., and Weisman, L. S. (2008). The cyclin-dependent kinase Cdk1 directly regulates vacuole inheritance. *Dev Cell* 15, 478–485.

Pichler, H., Gaigg, B., Hrastnik, C., Achleitner, G., Kohlwein, S., Zellnig, G., Perktold, A., and Daum, G. (2001). A subfraction of the yeast endoplasmic reticulum associates with the plasma membrane and has a high capacity to synthesize lipids. *Eur J Biochem* 268, 2351–2361.

Piña, F. J., and Niwa, M. (2015). The ER Stress Surveillance (ERSU) pathway regulates daughter cell ER protein aggregate inheritance. *Elife* 4, 709.

Piña, F. J., Fleming, T., Pogliano, K., and Niwa, M. (2016). Reticulons Regulate the ER Inheritance Block during ER Stress. *Developmental Cell* 37, 1–25.

Piña, F., Yagisawa, F., Obara, K., Gregerson, J. D., Kihara, A., and Niwa, M. (2018). Sphingolipids activate the endoplasmic reticulum stress surveillance pathway. *The Journal of Cell Biology* 217, 495–505.

Prinz, W. A., Grzyb, L., Veenhuis, M., Kahana, J. A., Silver, P. A., and Rapoport, T. A. (2000). Mutants affecting the structure of the cortical endoplasmic reticulum in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *The Journal of Cell Biology* 150, 461–474.

Provance, D. W., and Mercer, J. A. (1999). Myosin-V: head to tail. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 56, 233–242.

Pruyne, D., and Bretscher, A. (2000). Polarization of cell growth in yeast. I. Establishment and maintenance of polarity states. *J Cell Sci* 113 (Pt 3), 365–375.

Roberts, T. F., Tschida, K. A., Klein, M. E., and Mooney, R. (2010). Rapid spine stabilization and synaptic enhancement at the onset of behavioural learning. *Nature* 463, 948–952.

Schwarz, D. S., and Blower, M. D. (2016). The endoplasmic reticulum: structure, function and response to cellular signaling. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 73, 79–94.

Sheu, Y. J., Santos, B., Fortin, N., Costigan, C., and Snyder, M. (1998). Spa2p interacts with cell polarity proteins and signaling components involved in yeast cell morphogenesis. *Mol Cell Biol* 18, 4053–4069.

Shibata, Y., Shemesh, T., Prinz, W. A., Palazzo, A. F., Kozlov, M. M., and Rapoport, T. A. (2010). Mechanisms Determining the Morphology of the Peripheral ER. *Cell* 143, 774–788.

Tang, K., Li, Y., Yu, C., and Wei, Z. (2019). Structural mechanism for versatile cargo recognition by the yeast class V myosin Myo2. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 294, 5896–5906.

Tavassoli, S., Young, B. P., Cox, R. C., Prinz, W. A., de Kroon, A. I. P. M., and Loewen, C. J. R. (2013). Plasma membrane-endoplasmic reticulum contact sites regulate phosphatidylcholine synthesis. *EMBO Rep.* 14, 434–440.

Toulmay, A., and Prinz, W. A. (2012). A conserved membrane-binding domain targets proteins to organelle contact sites. *J Cell Sci* 125, 49–58.

van Drogen, F., and Peter, M. (2002). Spa2p functions as a scaffold-like protein to recruit the Mpk1p MAP kinase module to sites of polarized growth. *Curr Biol* 12, 1698–1703.

Varshney, N., and Sanyal, K. (2019). Nuclear migration in budding yeasts: position before division. *Curr Genet* 65, 1341–1346.

Voeltz, G. K., Prinz, W. A., Shibata, Y., Rist, J. M., and Rapoport, T. A. (2006). A class of membrane proteins shaping the tubular endoplasmic reticulum. *Cell* 124, 573–586.

Voeltz, G. K., Rolls, M. M., and Rapoport, T. A. (2002). Structural organization of the endoplasmic reticulum. *EMBO Rep.* 3, 944–950.

Walter, P., and Ron, D. (2011). The Unfolded Protein Response: From Stress Pathway to Homeostatic Regulation. *Science* 334, 1081–1086.

West, M., Zurek, N., Hoenger, A., and Voeltz, G. K. (2011). A 3D analysis of yeast ER structure reveals how ER domains are organized by membrane curvature. *193*, 333–346.

Wiederkehr, A., Du, Y., Pypaert, M., Ferro-Novick, S., and Novick, P. (2003). Sec3p is needed for the spatial regulation of secretion and for the inheritance of the cortical endoplasmic reticulum. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 14, 4770–4782.

Xu, T., Yu, X., Perlik, A. J., Tobin, W. F., Zweig, J. A., Tennant, K., Jones, T., and Zuo, Y. (2009). Rapid formation and selective stabilization of synapses for enduring motor memories. *Nature* 462, 915–919.

Zarzov, P., Mazzoni, C., and Mann, C. (1996). The SLT2(MPK1) MAP kinase is activated during periods of polarized cell growth in yeast. *Embo J* 15, 83–91.